


In the Office Action, claims 1-3 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Drori. In addition, claims 6, 7, 11 and 12 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Granot or Drori in view of Rosenberg and one of Kawai et al or Raifman.

The Examiner noted in paragraph 7, that claims 4, 5, 8-10 and 13-16 were objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form to include all the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

In response, the claims have been amended in accordance with the Examiner's proposed amendment. Applicant submits that these amendments effectuate all the corrections and changes the Examiner proposed, and therefore all claims remaining in the application are now in condition for allowance, and favorable reconsideration is respectfully requested.

The present amendment is submitted within the three-month period for response to the outstanding Office Action, and applicant hereby petitions for any extensions of time which may be required and authorizing the charging of Deposit Account No. 08-2455 any fees necessary to maintain the pendency of the present application. If any issues remain which can best be solved by a personal or telephone interview, the Examiner is kindly requested to contact the undersigned attorney at the local telephone number listed below.

Respectfully submitted,



Douglas W. Robinson, Reg. 32,751
Hoffman, Wasson & Gitler, P.C.
2361 Jefferson Davis Hwy.
Suite 522
Arlington, Virginia 22202
Tel: (703) 415-0100

January 20, 2000

Attorney Docket: A-5816.AM/lad

D

V